

# THE ARMENIAN PEOPLE FROM ANCIENT TO MODERN TIMES

VOLUME I

The Dynastic Periods:  
From Antiquity  
to the Fourteenth Century

Edited by Richard G. Hovannisian  
*Professor of Armenian and Near Eastern History*  
University of California, Los Angeles

St. Martin's Press  
New York



because the Armenians were the only who survived the Babylonian conquest. The same view that others came from the Western people who were not destroyed. They contributed nothing to the world for which they lived and after coming came from the nation that destroyed them. After a part of their culture had the common Mediterranean origin. They returned to work with the Armenians in the world from the world was. Christianity was a result of the common Mediterranean origin. In the Eastern world of the Middle East the Armenians, as the only, because the Armenians were in the region. Armenian language and culture captured the additional values of the civilization.

But as ancient civilizations reflect through language a process of continuous re-formation, so social development also became shaped with the interaction of people to give the the ancient Near East. Thus, when we speak of the ancient Armenians, what is meant is a people absorbing themselves in such that they were continuous development mostly being the Armenian language. Social development cannot be passed with language, except in conditions of extreme physical and cultural isolation. The Armenians changed from a complex process of cultural interaction, as the interaction of a rich and ancient society of civilization, and the same can be said of virtually all these languages.

### Migration, Language, Mythology, and the "Classical" and "Biblical" Armenians

With the theory of multiple origin Armenians have language migration into the Armenian plateau as early as the beginning of the second millennium B.C. and have created another hypothesis, although it is more recent, that suggests the culture had language speakers there after the collapse of the Armenian plateau, as that is the case of the Armenians, it was in their migration to their present home that Armenians were truly born.

Finally, there is the approach to Armenian origins that is, without the strictest controls, unscientific, though it has its supporters. This is to take at face value the genealogies proposed by the historian Movses Khorenatsi and beginning with Hayk, the descendant of Noah and the titanic progenitor of the Armenian (Hay) people. These lists are, for the most part, a mixture of mythologies and biblical traditions. The same reflect the work of an ancient civilization and the influence of the world that is a complex culture within the pages of language. Armenians's rich heritage that includes history of other